

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/809,889	03/26/2004	Yoshifumi Tanimoto	042089	7798	
38834 7550 04/20/2009 WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP 1250 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			SAMS, MATTHEW C		
SUITE 700 WASHINGTO	N. DC 20036		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	-,		2617		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/30/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/809,889 TANIMOTO, YOSHIFUMI Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit MATTHEW SAMS 2617 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 February 2009. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 13-15 and 17-19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 13-15 and 17-19 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Application/Control Number: 10/809,889 Page 2

Art Unit: 2617

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

 This office action has been changed in response to the amendment filed on 2/6/2009

- 2. Claim 13 has been amended. Claim 16 has been canceled.
- 3. The 35 U.S.C. 112 rejection has been withdrawn.

Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 13-19 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 13-15 and 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Li et al. (US-2003/0182428 hereinafter, Li) in view of Dorenbosch et al. (US-2002/0173308 hereinafter, Dorenbosch) and Agrawal (US-2002/0083127).

Regarding claim 13, Li teaches a communication method comprising the steps of:

Art Unit: 2617

detecting by a terminal of a forwarder, whether or not a user of a terminal of a forwarding destination is logged in an instant message server; (Page 2 [0010] *i.e.* "the resulting P2P communication service/network allows users to remain aware of others' online/offline statuses")

transmitting an instant message including identification information of the terminal of the forwarding destination from the terminal of the forwarder to a communication device via the instant message server, when the user of the forwarding destination is not logged in the instant message server; (Page 8 [0105])

storing the instant message received from the terminal of the forwarder in means for storing of the communication device; (Fig. 3 [340 & 348])

detecting by the communication device, whether or not the user of the forwarding destination designated as the terminal of the forwarding destination is logged in the instant message server; (Page 2 [0010]) and

transmitting the instant message stored in the means for storing from the communication device to the terminal of the forwarding destination when the user of the forwarding destination logs into the instant message server; (Page 8 [0105])

wherein when the user of the forwarding destination logs in (Page 7 [0094]), the buffered messages saved for the forwarding destination address are transmitted to the destination when a connection is established. (Page 8 [0105])

Li differs from the claimed invention by not explicitly reciting the forwarding destination information corresponding to the logged-in user of the forwarding destination is extracted from a forwarding destination column of the stored instant message, the

Art Unit: 2617

extracted forwarding destination information is set as a destination, and the instant message is transmitted to the terminal of the set destination.

However, Li teaches typically, instant messages are routed through an IM server (*i.e.* originally addressed to a server...) to get to the respective target destination. (...which forwards the message to the target buddy Page 6 [0078] and Fig. 3 [300] *i.e.* the user interface [302] is used to generate the instant message, then the message is sent to the function logic layer [304] for either storage [330] or processing [322, 324 or 326] by the dedicated server Page 7 [0090] prior to determining the optimal route [350] to the destination peer computer [1021)

Although Li does not explicitly recite "extracting" [a forwarding destination from a] "forwarding destination column", it is obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that the instant message is required to be routed through a IM server (Fig. 3 [314, 306 & 350], Page 4 [0038], Page 6 [0076] and Page 7 [0090] i.e. regardless of whether the communication system model is implemented in the peer computer or in a dedicated server) and that the forwarding destination column is analogous to the buddy user information (Fig. 4 [400]), which is analyzed by the system in order to forward the buffered instant message to the correct mobile subscriber when a connection is reestablished between user and the peer-to-peer network. (Page 7 [0090] & Page 8 [0105])

Further, it is obvious that one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to implement the use of a dedicated server device for logic layers (Fig. 3 [304 & 306]) because it takes the burden of determining when a destination peer computer is

Art Unit: 2617

available for receiving a delayed sending task away from the peer computer storing the message. (Page 7 [0090] and Fig. 3 [330])

Li teaches storing an instant message for a user that is offline at an IM server (Fig. 3 [348], Page 6 [0076], Page 7 [0090] and Fig. 2A [106]), but differs from the claimed invention by not explicitly reciting the transmission of an instant message from the terminal of the forwarder to the IM server and then to the communication device for storage when the user of the forwarding destination is not logged in the instant message server.

In an analogous art, Dorenbosch teaches transmitting an instant message from a terminal of the forwarder (Fig. 1 [14]) to the IM server (Fig. 1 [20 & 22]) and then to the communication device (Fig. 1 [24]) for storage when the user (Fig. 1 [12]) of the forwarding destination is not accessible to the instant message server. (Fig. 1 [20] and Pages 3-4 [0033]) At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to implement the communication method of Li after modifying it to incorporate the IM proxy of Dorenbosch. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this since Dorenbosch enables instant messaging for mobile subscribers which can be a more convenient/user friendly method of communication for users. (Page 1 [0002-0005])

Li in view of Dorenbosch differs from the claimed invention by not explicitly reciting transmitting the instant message from the terminal of the forwarder to the terminal of the forwarding destination via the instant message server without intervening the communication device when the user of the forwarding destination is logged in the instant message server.

Art Unit: 2617

In an analogous art, Agrawal teaches the ability for a mobile device (Fig. 5 [506 & 508] and Fig. 7 [706 & 708]) to receive messages directly from an instant message server (Fig. 5 [504]) when logged into the instant message server (Fig. 6A) or through a intervening communication device (Fig. 7 [710]) when the mobile device is not logged in the instant message server. (Fig. 6B, Fig. 8, Page 1 [0006] and Page 3 [0032-0033]) At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to be motivated to implement the instant message communication method of Li in view of Dorenbosch after modifying it to incorporate the ability to receive instant messages directly from a instant message server when the mobile terminal is logged in of Agrawal since transmitting a message directly to a wireless device eliminates delays and reduces the load on the backend system resources.

Regarding claim 14, Li in view of Dorenbosch and Agrawal teaches an instant message proxy for a communication method in a mobile environment that includes adding to an instant message to be transmitted from the communication device to the terminal of the forwarding destination, transmitter information included in the instant message which the communication device received from the terminal of the forwarder. (Dorenbosch Fig. 3, Page 2 [0021] and Page 3 [0032])

Regarding claim 15, Li in view of Dorenbosch and Agrawal teaches the step of transmitting, when a prescribed period of time elapses after the communication device receives the instant message form the terminal of the forwarder, an instant message indicating such a fact from the communication device to the terminal of the forwarder. (Dorenbosch Fig. 4 [56 & 58] and Page 2 [0023])

Art Unit: 2617

Regarding claim 17, Li in view of Dorenbosch and Agrawal teaches a means for detecting whether or not the user is logged in server. (Dorenbosch Page 2 [0023])

Regarding claim 18, Li in view of Dorenbosch and Agrawal teaches receiving a first instant message including a transmission destination, a transmitter, a forwarding destination and main text form the terminal of the forwarder. (Dorenbosch Fig. 2 [24], Fig. 3 [43] and Page 2 [0020-0023])

Regarding claim 19, Li in view of Dorenbosch and Agrawal teaches a means for generating a second instant message including a transmission destination, a transmitter, a forwarder and main text as an instant message to be transmitted to the terminal of the forwarding destination in accordance with the first instant message. (Dorenbosch Fig. 2 [24], Fig. 3 [43], Page 2 [0020-0023] and Page 3 [0032])

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in
this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP
§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37
CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to MATTHEW SAMS whose telephone number is

(571)272-8099. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Lester Kincaid can be reached on (571) 272-7922. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/MATTHEW SAMS/

Examiner, Art Unit 2617

/Lester Kincaid/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617